

PAGES \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

Box \_\_\_\_\_

Folder # \_\_\_\_\_

Fon # \_\_\_\_\_

***BEST COPY***

***AVAILABLE***

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ATTACHMENT

- (1) Add a subparagraph under paragraph 2 to read:

"those attendances will not endanger sensitive liaison, operations or cover with which the individual has previously been associated."

OHR Comment:

In general OHR is in accord with the recommendation, but views this as implicit in the responsibility of Operating Officials. If it is believed that an explicit statement is needed, such could appear logically under responsibility 3a.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**ATTACHED**

- (2) Modify paragraph 3a(1) to read:

"Provide for cover as required and approve all cover aspects of the external training program."

OSR Comment:

The former regulation on external training, dated 1 December 1953 and which was already in effect prior to that date, included the responsibility which read:

- 3a. "The Deputy Director (Plans) shall provide for cover in accordance with requirements established by the Director of Training."

This was long operative and caused no difficulty procedurally. Within DD/P, the Chief, Central Cover Division provides for cover and we accordingly restated the former language to read:

- 3a. The Chief, Central Cover Division shall: Provide for cover in accordance with requirements established by the Director of Training.

Please note that the language of the responsibility we used is identical with the former regulation, but the DD/P proposal could significantly alter present satisfactory arrangements operative over many years. We agreed that some clarification of the intent of paragraph 3a(5) in the 1953 regulation would be helpful, so if mention of DTR's responsibility in assuring a relationship between the training program and the cover used for acceptance in that program is misleading we are agreeable to eliminating it and be satisfied that cover considerations consistent with [REDACTED] and non-Official Cover Responsibilities [REDACTED] Cover are for application. Therefore, the Office of Training still recommends as it did in our memo to Executive Assistant to DD/S of 12 August 1959 that:

25X1A

25X1C4a

- a. To responsibilities of Operating Officials a new subparagraph 3a(2) be added, to read:

- 3a(2) Determine, with the advice and assistance of the Chief, Central Cover Division, the requirements for cover.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**ATTACHMENT**

b. From responsibilities of the Director of Training be deleted:  
3d(5).

c. The responsibilities of Chief, Central Cover Division be revised to read:

3e. The Chief, Central Cover Division, shall:

- (1) Provide for cover in accordance with requirements established by the appropriate Operating Official.
- (2) Brief employees concerned on the use of approved cover.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**ATTACHMENT**

(3) Add in a paragraph on procedures a provision for all requests for approval of external training to be routed through the Chief, Central Cover Division.

OER Comment:

OER is quite willing to route all requests through C/CDD if such can be done with little interruption in processing time and particularly if there is a valid reason for so doing. However, we have a memo of 7 August 1959 from the Chief, [REDACTED] to Chief, Employees Activities Branch which states:

"Central Cover Division does not believe that it is necessary to censor or coordinate on all requests for training at non-CIA facilities. We do, however, maintain that in specific cases the past, present, or potential cover may be a vital factor in selection and approval. (On this basis it is suggested that the form should require responses to specific questions on cover and where the response is affirmative either OER or Security should undertake coordination with CDD.)"

Certainly the additional routing of all requests through CDD would require some additional personnel effort there and would certainly delay the processing of any requests not needing the services of CDD. The latter group is quite sizeable. For example, of all external cases in FY 1959 there were 6% which required cover; broken down by component the percentage reads: of requests involving ID/P personnel, 31% required cover; of requests involving all others, 1% required cover.

25X1A8a

Based upon meetings and memos among the Chief, [REDACTED] CDD, ID/P; the Chief, Employees Activities Branch, OS, ID/E; the Office of Training Security Officer and the Chief, Processing Branch, RS/TR, ID/S; we have agreed to include the following items in the Revised Form 136:

13. Requester has cover:

Yes ☐ if yes, specify \_\_\_\_\_

No ☐

14. Requester required cover arrangements

Yes ☐ if yes, why \_\_\_\_\_

No ☐

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**ATTENTION**

15. If #13 or #14 is affirmative, Cover Division Concurrence is required:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature, C/CD

With these requirements established by DTH for all external applicants in the new form, it should be fairly easy to screen out those cases which will require CD assistance on cover for the purposes of undertaking training.

**Note:**



5X9

**CONFIDENTIAL**